1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7 8	UNITED STATES DIST FOR THE WESTERN DISTRIC AT SEATT	CT OF WASHINGTON
9	RYAN DIAZ,	NO.
10	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
11	v. NINTENDO OF AMERICA, INC.,	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
12	Defendant.	
13		
14	CLASS ACTION CO	<u>OMPLAINT</u>
15	Plaintiff Ryan Diaz, individually, and on beh	alf of all others similarly situated, by and
16	through counsel, brings this action against Nintendo	of America, Inc. ("Nintendo"). Plaintiff's
17	allegations herein are based upon personal knowledg	ge and belief as to his own acts and upon
18	the investigation of his counsel and information and	belief as to all other matters.
19	INTRODUC	TION
20	1. This is a class action lawsuit brought a	against Nintendo of America, Inc.
21	("Nintendo") by Plaintiff on behalf of himself and sir	milarly situated individuals who purchased
22	Nintendo Switch game systems ("Switch") and extra	Joy-Con controllers. The Joy-Con
23	Controllers that are part of the Switch contain a defec	et that can result in the joystick moving or
	CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 1	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle. Washington 98101

1	activating on its own ("drifting") and manipulating game play without manual operation by the
2	user. This defect affects the video game play on the device and thus compromises the Switch
3	and Joy-Con controller's core functionality.
4	2. Defendant, which manufactured, marketed, and sold the Switch and Joy-Con
5	controllers, is aware of the defect through online consumer complaints, complaints made by
6	consumers to Defendant, and through its own pre-release testing.
7	3. Yet, notwithstanding its knowledge of its manufacturing defect, Defendant fails
8	to disclose the defect and routinely refuses to repair the joysticks without charge when the defect
9	manifests and never disclosed this material defect to consumers.
10	4. As a result of Defendant's unfair, deceptive, and/or fraudulent business practices,
11	owners of Switches, including Plaintiff, have suffered an ascertainable loss of money and/or
12	property and/or value. As a result of the joystick defect and the monetary costs associated with
13	attempting to repair the game consoles, Plaintiff and the Class have suffered injury in fact,
14	incurred damages, and otherwise have been harmed by Defendant's conduct.
15	5. Accordingly, Plaintiff brings this action to redress Defendant's violations of
16	California consumer fraud statutes, negligent misrepresentation, breach of implied warranty,
17	unjust enrichment, and for violations of the federal Magnuson-Moss Warranty Act and
18	California's Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act. Plaintiff seeks monetary relief for damages
19	suffered, declaratory relief as to the parties' rights under Defendant's warranty, and public
20	injunctive relief.
21	JURISDICTION AND VENUE
22	6. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §
23	1332 of the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 because: (i) there are 100 or more class members,

1	(ii) there is an aggregate amount in controversy exceeding \$5,000,000, exclusive of interest and
2	costs, and (iii) there is minimal diversity because at least one plaintiff and one defendant are
3	citizens of different states. This Court has supplemental jurisdiction over the state law claims
4	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
5	7. Venue properly lies in this judicial district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391 because
6	Defendant transacts business in this district and is subject to personal jurisdiction in this distric
7	Additionally, Defendant has advertised in this district and has received substantial revenue and
8	profits from its sales of its products, including the Switch and Joy-Con controllers, in this
9	district; therefore, a substantial part of the events and/or omissions giving rise to the claims
10	herein occurred, in part, within this district.
11	8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Nintendo because it maintains its
12	headquarters within this judicial district, has conducted substantial business in this judicial
13	district, and intentionally and purposefully placed its products into the stream of commerce
14	within Washington and throughout the United States.
15	<u>THE PARTIES</u>
16	<u>Plaintiff</u>
17	9. Plaintiff Ryan Diaz is a citizen of the state of California.
18	10. On July 21, 2017, Mr. Diaz purchased a Nintendo Switch console and an extra
19	pair of Joy-Con controllers.
20	11. After about 11 months of use, the left joystick on the Joy-Con controllers that
21	came with the console began registering movement without being manually controlled, or
22	"drifting." This resulted in the Joy-Con being unusable for general gameplay.
23	

1	12.	On or around July 5, 2018, Mr. Diaz sent the defective Joy-Con controller to
2	Nintendo for 1	repair under the one-year warranty. Three months after receiving his refurbished
3	Joy-Con conti	roller, Mr. Diaz's controller began to exhibit the same "drifting" issue again. The
4	left joystick o	n Mr. Diaz's extra set of Joy-Con controllers also began to exhibit the "drifting"
5	issue after abo	out 13 months of use, but it was no longer under warranty so Mr. Diaz did not send
6	it in for an out	t-of-pocket repair.
7	13.	Both of Mr. Diaz's sets of Joy-Con controllers were rendered unusable.
8	14.	As a result of the defect on both of his Joy-Con controllers, Mr. Diaz had to
9	purchase two	additional left-hand Joy-Con controllers for \$45.00 each from Amazon on April
10	29, 2019.	
11	15.	At the time he purchased his Switch, Mr. Diaz did not know about the defect, and
12	Nintendo did	not disclose it to him. Had he known about the defect, he would not have
13	purchased his	Switch or would have paid substantially less for it.
14	<u>Defendant</u>	
15	16.	Defendant Nintendo of America, Inc. is incorporated in Washington State and
16	maintains its p	principal place of business at 4600 150th Ave, NE, Redmond, WA 98052.
17		FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS
18	17.	The Switch is a video gaming system and console launched by Nintendo in
19	March 2017.	The Switch is a hybrid console that can be played both as a portable handheld
20	controller (sin	nilar to the popular "Game Boy") or can be used as a stationary system with visual
21	playing on a u	ser's television screen similar to a PlayStation or X-Box.
22	18.	The Switch contains two wireless Joy-Con controllers, one on the right and one
23	on the left, wh	nich can either be connected to a screen for portable hand-held play, connected to a

grip accessory to function like a traditional home console, or detached altogether to function as

individual controllers for two players. The Joy-Con controllers are depicted in these images<sup>1</sup>:

19.

controllers each



Joy-Con have a

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.nintendo.com/switch/system/ (last visited July 17, 2019).

1	joystick and several buttons that are used to control gameplay.		
2	20.	A new Switch starts at approximately \$299.99 and includes one Joy-Con	
3	controller set.		
4	21.	Additional Joy-Con controllers can be purchased for approximately \$79.99 for a	
5	pair or approx	imately \$49.99 for an individual left or right controller.	
6	22.	The Joy-Con controllers are defective. Specifically, the joystick on the Joy-Con	
7	suffers from a	defect that results in it "drifting" on its own, i.e., affecting gameplay as if it had	
8	been touched	but without actually having been touched by the user.	
9	23.	Drifting interferes significantly with gameplay. Since the entire purpose of the	
10	Switch is to pl	lay video games and the purpose of the Joy-Con specifically is to control the	
11	gameplay in tl	hose video games, the defect goes to the device's central functionality and results	
12	in the device f	failing of its essential purpose.	
13	24.	Plaintiff's experiences are by no means isolated or outlying occurrences. Indeed,	
14	the internet is	replete with examples of message boards and other websites where consumers	
15	have complair	ned of the exact same Joy-Con defect.	
16	25.	Many consumers report experiencing drift on multiple Joy-Con controllers,	
17	including repl	acement controllers they purchased separately from their Switches.	
18	26.	Switch owners have publicly complained about the Joy-Con defect and drift. The	
19	following are	some of the complaints submitted on forums and social media websites by Switch	
20	owners (which	n upon information and belief is monitored by Defendant) <sup>2</sup> :	
21			
22			
23	<sup>2</sup> The followi attributable to	ing complaints are reproduced as they appear online. Any typographical errors are the original author.	
		Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC	

1	27.
2	Daniel328DT, Nintendo Support Forums, 11/17/17 <sup>3</sup>
3	"I'm currently having problems with the left joycon analog stick. Whenever I power on my left joycon, the controller starts moving up even when I'm not touching
4	the analog stick "
5	Kingtata10, Reddit, 6/11/18 <sup>4</sup>
6	"[M]y original pair of Joy-Cons started drifting about a year after buying it at
7	launch. I have 2 year warranty, so I went and replaced them. 3 months later and it started happening again "
8	CanyonWrn, Reddit, 7/28/18 <sup>5</sup>
10	"I have been one of the unlucky individuals who has experienced excessive, long- term drift issues with all of my pairs of joy-cons. I own three pairs between two
11	consoles. I have had to send joy-cons in for repair due to drifting <i>eight</i> times—sometimes, obviously, multiple times per joy-con "
12	Stelter6, GameFAQs Message Board, 12/2/18 <sup>6</sup>
13	
14 15	"[I] have two left joycons and the analog stick drifts on both of them. One drifts left and the other drifts up. Basically input registers without me touching the stick. This happens attached and unattached. I'm not sure if it's my Switch or the joycons."
16	twithfully comach Daddit 12/4/197
17	truthfullycorncob, Reddit, 12/4/18 <sup>7</sup>
18	"[I] have <b>two sets</b> that are a year and a half old and are <b>both starting to drift bad</b> "
19	
20	<sup>3</sup> https://en-americas-support.nintendo.com/app/social/questions/detail/qid/70928/~/left-joycon-analog-stick-drifting-upwards
21	<sup>4</sup> https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/8qbp78/left_joycon_analog_stick_drifting
22	<sup>5</sup> https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/92pht5/we_really_shouldnt_discount_pe ople_experiencing/
23	<sup>6</sup> https://gamefaqs.gamespot.com/boards/189706-nintendo-switch/77262127
	<sup>7</sup> https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/a30tm4/joy_cons_drifting/
	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200

1	
2	Josh, Stack Exchange, 1/13/19 <sup>8</sup>
3	"About 2 weeks ago I noticed that the left analog stick on my Nintendo Switch Joy-Cons started "drifting", that is, without touching the stick (when it was
4	centered) it would register as off-center causing characters in games to start randomly walking in various directions, frequently downward. This obviously makes playing games rather difficult"
5	CyberSai, IGN Boards, 3/14/199
6	"My left joycon has a drifting control stick that constantly makes my character
7	move in any game when I'm trying to stand still, or when I try to move in another direction it feels like a struggle. Obviously this is making playing a lot of
9	games unplayable, and I don't feel like buying all new joycons for \$60. How do you repair it on your own without sending it into Nintendo?"
10	Lizuka, Nintendo Life, 3/15/19 <sup>10</sup>
11	"Both of mine have been drifting for a loooong time now. The right only slightly so for the longest time while the left got flat out unusable then I bought a replacement and now the right is rapidly getting just as bad"
12	
13	<u>Chef_Brah, Reddit, 3/26/19<sup>11</sup></u>
14	"Every friend of mine and me have had drift issue with joycons. I just use pro controller now."
15	<u>drewc406</u> , <u>Reddit</u> , 4/3/19 <sup>12</sup>
16	"[I] bought my Switch about 18 months ago [I] noticed both sets of my joy cons begin giving me problems with drift on the left controller a couple
17	months ago, and so I started to pay attention to all the complaints. It's alarming that this is a known issue on an \$80 controller, and yet Nintendo hasn't said boo
18	
19	<sup>8</sup> https://gaming.stackexchange.com/questions/344972/why-are-the-analog-sticks-on-my-nintendo-switch-controllers-all-starting-to-drif/345047
20	<sup>9</sup> https://www.ign.com/boards/threads/how-to-fix-drifting-control-stick-in-left-joycon.455340618/
21	<sup>10</sup> http://www.nintendolife.com/news/2019/07/guide_how_to_fix_a_drifting_nintendo_switch_j oy-con_analogue_stick
22	<sup>11</sup> https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/b5z81z/how_to_fix_controller_drift_on the switch joycons/
23	12https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/b972b2/the_left_joycon_failure_is_a_tr avesty_that_should/
	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT 9 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200

1	about it [A]re we expected to throw away expensive controllers and just buy new ones, or pay Nintendo to fix their mistakes?"
2	"How have these controllers not been recalled? It's obviously a design flaw, so why not fix it? I am going to try and fix them myself, which I've seen work, but the
3	fact that we have to try to do that is ridiculous [I] can't push down anymore, and that the drift has gotten so bad that even in the menu everything just goes
4	straight to the top"
5	<u>Lucky777, GameFAQs, 4/8/19<sup>13</sup></u>
6	"[T]he switch will be my last nintendo console thanks to joycon drift never
7	have [I] seen such poorly designed controllers [I] will have to buy my 4th pair of joycons soon[.] [T]hose will end up drifting too[,] they always do"
8	<u>ikonino, Reddit, 5/18/19<sup>14</sup></u>
9	"My right joy-con is drifting hard and its a nightmare, any tips for fixing it?"
10	Razzy, Nintendo Life, 6/20/19 <sup>15</sup>
11	"Four of my five joycons developed drifting problems, one only a month after purchase"
12 13	imoctopusman, Reddit, 7/14/19 <sup>16</sup>
14	"I have 8 joy cons and only 2 left ones don't drift. It's ridiculous. Had to buy a pro controller because of it."
15	28. Defendant is aware of the Joy-Con defect. In the first place, it has received
16	droves of complaints from consumers about this issue both directly from consumers and through
17	online forums and social media sites that it monitors.
18	
19	
20	13 https://gamefaqs.gamespot.com/boards/189706-nintendo-switch/77626229
21	<sup>14</sup> https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/bq9tq6/my_right_joycon_is_drifting_ha rd_and_its_a/
22	15http://www.nintendolife.com/news/2019/07/guide_how_to_fix_a_drifting_nintendo_switch_j oy-con_analogue_stick
23	16https://www.reddit.com/r/NintendoSwitch/comments/cczxwz/i_know_its_been_said_a_billio n_times_but_nintendo/?utm_source=share&utm_medium=ios_app
	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT - 9  TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC 1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200

1	29.	Defendant controls the manufacture, development, marketing, sales, and support
2	for the Switch	and Joy-Con controllers.
3	30.	Accordingly, Defendant was responsible for performing pre-release testing on the
4	Switch and Jo	by-Con controllers which should have alerted it to the defect.
5	31.	The Switch was first released in March 2017 and is still on the market today.
6	32.	Despite knowing about the Joy-Con defect, Defendant continues to market and
7	sell the Switch	h and Joy-Con controllers (through third-party retailers) without disclosing the
8	defect.	
9	33.	Defendant could easily disclose the defect to potential consumers in any number
10	of ways, inclu	ading on the product's packaging or its set-up screen.
11	34.	When a consumer experiences the defect and contacts Defendant, Defendant
12	routinely refu	ses to offer any sort of fix free of charge.
13	35.	Because of Defendant's actions, Switch owners have suffered damages in the
14	form of loss o	of use of the essential purpose and central functionality of their Switches and Joy-
15	Con controlle	ers, diminution of value of their Switches, and lost time and expense involved in
16	contacting Ni	ntendo and retailers about the problem.
17		CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS
18	36.	This action is brought, and may properly proceed, as a class action, pursuant to
19	Rule 23(a) an	d 23(b)(2) and (3) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.
20	37.	Plaintiff seeks certification of a Class defined as follows:
21		Nationwide Class: All persons in the United States who bought a Nintendo Switch or Joy-Con
22		controllers.
23	38.	In the alternative, Plaintiff seeks certification of the following subclass:
		Tours ou Boung Computation PLL C

1		<u>California Subclass</u> : All persons in the state of California who bought a Nintendo Switch or Joy-Con
2		controllers.
3	39.	Excluded from the Classes is Defendant, its affiliates, employees, officers and
4	directors, perso	ns or entities that purchased Switches or Joy-Con controllers for resale, and the
5	Judge(s) assign	ed to this case. Plaintiff reserves the right to modify, change, or expand the class
6	definitions if di	scovery and/or further investigation reveal that they should be expanded or
7	otherwise modi	fied.
8	40.	Numerosity: The Class is so numerous that joinder of all members is
9	impracticable.	While the exact number and identities of individual members of the Class is
10	unknown at thi	s time, such information being in the sole possession of Defendant and obtainable
11	by Plaintiffs on	ly through the discovery process, Plaintiff believes, and on that basis allege, that
12	at least tens of	thousands of Switches and Joy-Con controllers have been sold nationwide.
13	41.	Existence/Predominance of Common Questions of Fact and Law: Common
14	questions of lav	w and fact exist as to all members of the Class. These questions predominate
15	over the questic	ons affecting individual Class members. These common legal and factual
16	questions inclu	de, but are not limited to:
17		a. whether Nintendo engaged in the conduct alleged herein;
18		b. whether the Joy-Con controllers are defective;
19		c. whether Nintendo placed the Switch and Joy-Con controllers into the
20	stream of com	merce in the United States with knowledge of the defect;
21		d. whether Nintendo knew or should have known of the defect, and if so,
22	how long it kn	ew of this defect;
23		e. when Nintendo became aware of the defect;
		Touci ev Rhain Sternens PLLC

l	f. whether Defendant knowingly failed to disclose the existence and cause
2	of the defect;
3	g. whether Defendant's conduct alleged herein violates consumer
4	protection statutes, false advertising laws, warranty laws, and other laws as asserted herein;
5	h. whether Plaintiff and Class Members overpaid for their Switches and/or
6	Joy-Con controllers in light of the defect;
7	i. whether Plaintiff and Class Members have suffered an ascertainable loss
8	as a result of the loss of their Switch's functionality;
9	j. whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to damages, including
10	punitive damages, as a result of Defendant's conduct alleged herein, and if so, the amount or
11	proper measure of those damages; and
12	k. whether Plaintiff and Class Members are entitled to equitable relief,
13	including but not limited to restitution and/or injunctive relief, including public injunctive relief
14	as provided for under California law.
15	42. <u>Typicality</u> : Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the Class since Plaintiff
16	purchased a Switch and/or Joy-Con controllers, as did each member of the Class. Plaintiffs and
17	Class members were injured in the same manner by Defendant's uniform course of conduct
18	alleged herein. Plaintiff and all Class members have the same claims against Defendant relating
19	to the conduct alleged herein, and the same events giving rise to Plaintiff's claims for relief are
20	identical to those giving rise to the claims of all Class Members. Plaintiff and all Class members
21	sustained monetary and economic injuries including, but not limited to, ascertainable losses
22	arising out of Defendant's wrongful conduct in selling and failing to remedy defective Joy-Con
23	

- controllers. Plaintiff is advancing the same claims and legal theories on behalf of himself and all absent Class Members.
- 43. Adequacy: Plaintiff is an adequate representative for the Class because his interests do not conflict with the interests of the Class that he seeks to represent. Plaintiff has retained counsel competent and highly experienced in complex class action litigation—including consumer fraud class action cases—and they intend to prosecute this action vigorously. The interests of the Class will be fairly and adequately protected by Plaintiff and his counsel.
- efficient adjudication of the claims of Plaintiff and members of the Class. The injury suffered by each individual Class member is relatively small in comparison to the burden and expense of individual prosecution of the complex and extensive litigation necessitated by Defendant's conduct. It would be virtually impossible for members of the Class individually to redress effectively the wrongs done to them by Defendant. Even if Class members could afford such individual litigation, the court system could not. Individualized litigation presents a potential for inconsistent or contradictory judgments. Individualized litigation increases the delay and expense to all parties, and to the court system, presented by the complex legal and factual issues of the case. By contrast, the class action device presents far fewer management difficulties, and provides the benefits of single adjudication, an economy of scale, and comprehensive supervision by a single court. Upon information and belief, members of the Class can be readily identified and notified.
- 45. Defendant has acted, and refuses to act, on grounds generally applicable to the Class, thereby making appropriate final equitable and injunctive relief with respect to the Class as a whole.

1		CLAIMS FOR RELIEF
2		<u>COUNT I</u>
3		VIOLATION OF MAGNUSON-MOSS WARRANTY ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 2301, et seq. ("MMWA")
4	46.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as
5	though fully set	t forth at length herein.
6	47.	Plaintiff and Class members are "consumers" within the meaning of the
7	MMWA, 15 U.	S.C. § 2301(3).
	48.	Defendant is a "supplier" and "warrantor" within the meaning of the MMWA,
)	15 U.S.C. § 230	01(4)-(5).
)	49.	The Switch and Joy-Con controllers are "consumer products" within the
	meaning of the	MMWA, 15 U.S.C. § 2301(1).
,	50.	15 U.S.C. § 2310(d) provides a cause of action for any consumer who is
	damaged by the	e failure of a warrantor to comply with a written or implied warranty.
	51.	Defendant's express warranties are written warranties within the meaning of the
	MMWA, 15 U.	S.C. § 2301(6). The Switch's implied warranties are covered under 15 U.S.C.
	§ 2301(7).	
	52.	Defendant breached its express and written warranties as described in more
	detail above and	d below. Without limitation, the Joy-Con controllers are defective and fail to
	operate as repre	esented and warranted by Defendant and replacement devices are also subject to
	the same defect	t.
	53.	Plaintiff and the other Class members have had sufficient direct dealings with
	either Defendar	nt or its agents to establish privity of contract between Defendant, on one hand,
	and Plaintiff an	d each of the other Class members on the other hand. Nonetheless, privity is
		Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC

not required here because Plaintiff and each of the other Class members are intended third-
party beneficiaries of contracts between Defendant and their third-party retailers, and
specifically, of Defendant's implied warranties. The third-party retailers were not intended to
be the ultimate consumers of the Switches and Joy-Con controllers and have no rights under the
warranty agreements provided with the products; the warranty agreements were designed for
and intended to benefit the consumers only.

- 54. Affording Defendant a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of written warranties would be unnecessary and futile here. Indeed, Plaintiff has already done so, and Defendant has failed to eliminate the defect.
- 55. At the time of sale or lease of each Switch and Joy-Con controller Defendant knew, should have known, or was reckless in not knowing of its misrepresentations and omissions concerning the Joy-Con controller's inability to perform as warranted, but nonetheless failed to rectify the situation and/or disclose the defect. Under the circumstances, the remedies available under any informal settlement procedure would be inadequate and any requirement that Plaintiff resort to an informal dispute resolution procedure under the MMWA and/or afford Defendants a reasonable opportunity to cure its breach of warranties is excused and thereby deemed satisfied.
- 56. The amount in controversy of Plaintiff's individual claims meets or exceeds the sum of \$25. The amount in controversy of this action exceeds the sum of \$50,000, exclusive of interest and costs, computed on the basis of all claims to be determined in this lawsuit.
- 57. Plaintiff individually and on behalf of the other Class members, seeks all damages permitted by law in an amount to be proven at trial.

1		COUNT II BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY
2	58.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as
3	though fully	set forth at length herein.
4	59.	Defendant is a "merchant" as defined under the Uniform Commercial Code
5	("UCC").	
6	60.	The Switches and Joy-Con controllers are "goods" as defined under the UCC.
7	61.	Defendant expressly warranted that the Switches and Joy-Con controllers were
8	of high quali	ty and, at a minimum, would actually work properly. Defendant specifically
9	warranted att	tributes and general functionality of the Switches and Joy-Con controllers.
10	62.	Defendant also expressly warranted that it would repair and/or replace defects in
11	material and/	or workmanship free of charge that occurred during the applicable warranty
12	periods.	
13	63.	Defendant breached its warranties by selling to Plaintiff and the Class members
14	the Switches	and Joy-Con controllers with a known defect, and which are not of high quality,
15		isposed to fail prematurely and/or fail to function properly. Defendant also
16		warranty by failing to provide an adequate repair when contacted by Plaintiff and
17		mbers following manifestation of the defect.
18	64.	These warranties formed the basis of the bargain that was reached when Plaintiff
19		ass members purchased Switches and Joy-Con controllers.
20	65.	As a result of Defendant's actions, Plaintiff and Class members have suffered
21		d other related damages.
22	66.	Plaintiff and the Class members have complied with all obligations under the
23		otherwise have been excused from performance of said obligations as a result of
		The state of the s
		TOUGLBY Parky Companying BLL C

1 Defendant's conduct described herein. 2 COUNT III BREACH OF THE IMPLIED WARRANTY OF MERCHANTABILITY 3 67. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as 4 though fully set forth at length herein. 5 68. Defendants are "merchants" as defined under the UCC. 6 69. The Switches and Joy-Con controllers are "goods" as defined under the UCC. 70. A warranty that Switches and Joy-Con controllers were in merchantable quality 8 and condition is implied by law in transactions for the purchase of Switches and Joy-Con 9 controllers. Defendant impliedly warranted that the Switches and Joy-Con controllers were of 10 good and merchantable condition and quality, fit for their ordinary intended use, including with 11 respect to reliability, operability, and substantial freedom from defects. 12 71. The Switches and Joy-Con controllers, when sold, and at all times thereafter, 13 were not in merchantable condition and are not fit for the ordinary purpose for which they are 14 used. The Joy-Con defect renders the devices unmerchantable, as they are unreliable, partially 15 or fully inoperable, and not substantially free from defects. 16 72. Defendant was provided notice of the issues complained of herein by numerous 17 complaints filed against them, including the instant lawsuit, within a reasonable amount of 18 time. 19 73. Plaintiff and the other Class members have had sufficient direct dealings with 20 either Defendant or its agents to establish privity of contract between Defendant on one hand, 2.1 and Plaintiff and each of the Class members on the other hand. Nonetheless, privity is not 22 required here because Plaintiff and each of the Class members are intended third-party 23

1	beneficiaries of contracts between Defendant and its third-party retailers, and specifically, of
2	Defendant's implied warranties. The dealers were not intended to be the ultimate consumers of
3	the devices and have no rights under the warranty agreements; the warranty agreements were
4	designed for and intended to benefit the consumers only.
5	74. As a direct and proximate result of the breach of said warranties, Plaintiff and
6	Class members were injured, and are entitled to damages.
<ul><li>7</li><li>8</li><li>9</li></ul>	COUNT IV VIOLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA CONSUMER LEGAL REMEDIES ACT (CAL. Civ. Code §§ 1750, et seq.) ("CLRA")
10	75. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as
11	though fully set forth at length herein.
12	76. Defendant is a "person" as that term is defined in CAL. CIV. CODE § 1761(c).
13	77. Plaintiff and the California Subclass are "consumers" as that term is defined in
14	CAL. CIV. CODE §1761(d).
15	78. Defendant engaged in unfair and deceptive acts in violation of the CLRA by the
16	practices described above, and by knowingly and intentionally concealing from Plaintiff and
17	California Subclass members that the Switches and Joy-Con controllers suffer from the joystick
18	defect. These acts and practices violate, at a minimum, the following CLRA sections:
19	(a)(5) Representing that goods or services have sponsorships, characteristics, uses, benefits or quantities which they do not
20	have;
21	(a)(7) Representing that goods or services are of a particular standard, quality, or grade, or that goods are of a particular style or model, if they are of another; and
23	(a)(9) Advertising goods and services with the intent not to sell them as advertised.
	Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC

1	79.	Defendant's unfair or deceptive acts or practices occurred repeatedly in
2	Defendant's t	rade or business and were capable of deceiving a substantial portion of the
3	purchasing pu	ıblic.
4	80.	Defendant knew that the Switches and Joy-Con controllers were defective,
5	would fail pro	ematurely, and were not suitable for their intended use.
6	81.	Defendant was under a duty to Plaintiff and the California Subclass members to
7	disclose the d	efective nature of the devices because:
8		a. Defendants were in a superior position to know the true state of facts about
9		the defect;
10		b. Plaintiff and the California Subclass members could not reasonably have
11		been expected to learn or discover that the devices had a defect until
12		manifestation of the defect; and
13		c. Defendant knew that Plaintiff and the California Subclass members could
14		not reasonably have been expected to learn or discover the defect and the
15		associated costs until the manifestation of the defect.
16	82.	In failing to disclose the defect and the associated costs that result from it,
17	Defendant ha	s knowingly and intentionally concealed material facts and breached its duty not
18	to do so.	
19	83.	The facts concealed or not disclosed by Defendant to Plaintiff and the California
20	Subclass men	nbers are material in that a reasonable consumer would have considered them to
21	be important	in deciding whether to purchase the devices or pay a lesser price. Had Plaintiff
22	and the Califo	ornia Subclass known about the defective nature of the Switches and Joy-Con
23	controllers, th	ney would not have purchased them or would have paid less for them.

1	84.	As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's conduct, Plaintiff and California
2	Subclass Me	mbers have been harmed.
3	85.	Pursuant to CAL. CIV. CODE § 1780, Plaintiff seeks only injunctive relief at this
4	time. Pursua	nt to CAL. CIV. CODE § 1782, on July 19, 2019, Plaintiff sent a letter to Defendant
5	notifying it c	of the CLRA violations alleged herein and requesting that it cure these violations.
6	86.	If Defendant does not cure the alleged violations within 30 days of receipt of the
7	letter, Plainti	iff will seek to amend this claim to demand damages and any other relief available
8	under the CL	LRA, as well attorney fees and costs.
9	87.	Injunctive relief by and large would benefit the general public here. Injunctive
10	relief benefits	Plaintiff only incidentally as members of the general public, because Plaintiff has
11	already been i	injured by and is therefore aware of the alleged misconduct of Defendant.
12		<u>COUNT V</u>
13	VIC	OLATIONS OF THE CALIFORNIA UNFAIR COMPETITION LAW (CAL. Bus. & Prof. Code, et seq. §§ 17200) ("UCL")
14	88.	Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as
15	though fully	set forth at length herein.
16	89.	The UCL proscribes acts of unfair competition, including "any unlawful, unfair
17	or fraudulent	t business act or practice and unfair, deceptive, untrue or misleading advertising."
18	Cal. Bus. &	Prof. Code § 17200.
19	90.	Defendant's conduct is unlawful, in violation of the UCL, because it
20	contravenes	the legislatively declared policy against unfair methods of business competition.
21	Additionally	, Defendant's conduct is unlawful because it violates the Magnusson-Moss
22	Warranty Ac	et, the Song-Beverly Warranty Act, the California Consumers Legal Remedies Act,
23	and constitut	tes breach of express and implied warranties, fraudulent concealment, and unjust

1	enrichment.
2	91. Defendant's conduct is unfair because it violated California public policy,
3	legislatively declared in the Song-Beverly Consumer Warranty Act, requiring a manufacturer to
4	ensure that goods it places on the market is fit for their ordinary and intended purposes.
5	Defendant acted in an immoral, unethical, oppressive, and unscrupulous manner by:
6	a. Knowingly selling Plaintiff and California Subclass members Switches
7	and Joy-Con controllers with defective joysticks;
8	b. Refusing to repair or replace devices with the defect;
9	c. Requiring consumers to wait several weeks to several months to receive
10	accommodation for warranty claims;
11	d. Providing replacement Joy-Cons that suffer the same defect and related
12	problems as the original.
13	92. The gravity of the harm resulting from Defendant's unfair conduct outweighs
14	any potential utility of the conduct. The practice of selling defective devices without providing
15	an adequate remedy to cure the defect harms the public at large and is part of a common and
16	uniform course of wrongful conduct. There are reasonably available alternatives that would
17	further Defendant's business interests of increasing sales and preventing false warranty claims.
18	The harm from Defendant's unfair conduct was not reasonably avoidable by consumers.
19	93. Defendant's conduct, as described herein, is fraudulent in violation of the UCL.
20	Defendant's fraudulent acts include knowingly and intentionally concealing from Plaintiff and
21	California Subclass members the existence of the defect, and falsely marketing the Switches
22	and Joy-Con controllers as being functional and not possessing a defect that would render them
23	useless.

1	94. Defendant's misrepresentations and omissions alleged herein caused Plaintiff
2	and California Subclass members to purchase their Switches and Joy-Con controllers.
3	95. Defendant had a duty to disclose the defect because it had exclusive knowledge
4	of the defect and because Defendant made partial representations about the quality of the
5	devices, but failed to fully disclose the defect.
6	96. Accordingly, Plaintiff and class members have suffered injury in fact, including
7	lost money or property, as a result of Defendant's unlawful, unfair, and fraudulent acts. Absent
8	these acts, Plaintiff and class members would not have purchased their Switches and Joy-Con
9	controllers at the prices they paid (had they purchased them at all).
10	97. Plaintiff seeks to enjoin further unlawful, unfair, and/or fraudulent acts or
11	practices by Defendant under CAL. BUS. & PROF. CODE § 17200.
12	98. Injunctive relief by and large would benefit the general public here. Injunctive
13	relief benefits Plaintiffs only incidentally as members of the general public, because Plaintiff has
14	already been injured by and is therefore aware of the alleged misconduct of Nintendo
15	99. Plaintiff requests that this Court enter such orders or judgments as may be
16	necessary to enjoin Defendant from continuing its unfair, unlawful, and/or deceptive practices,
17	and to restore to Plaintiff and California Subclass members any money Defendant acquired by
18	unfair competition, including restitution, in addition to reasonable attorneys' fees and costs.
19	COUNT VI
20	VIOLATIONS OF THE SONG-BEVERLY CONSUMER WARRANTY ACT
21	FOR BREACH OF EXPRESS WARRANTY (CAL. Civ. Code §§ 1791.2 & 1793.2(d))
22	100. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as
23	though fully set forth at length herein.
	Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC

1	101.	Plaintiff and the other Class members who purchased the devices in California
2	are "buyers" v	within the meaning of CAL. CIV. CODE § 1791(b).
3	102.	The Switches and Joy-Con controllers are "consumer goods" within the meaning
4	of CAL. CIV. C	CODE § 1791(a).
5	103.	Defendant is a "manufacturer" within the meaning of CAL. CIV. CODE § 1791(j).
6	104.	Defendant made express warranties to Plaintiff and the other California Class
7	members with	in the meaning of CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1791.2 and 1793.2, as described above.
8	105.	Defendant breached these warranties by selling the Switches and Joy-Con
9	controllers wi	th the defect, requiring repair or replacement within the applicable warranty
10	periods, and r	efusing to honor the warranties by providing free repairs or replacements during
11	the applicable	warranty periods.
12	106.	As alleged above, the terms of Defendant's express warranty are both
13	substantively	and procedurally unconscionable. Defendant's attempt to disclaim or limit these
14	express warra	nties is unconscionable and unenforceable under the circumstances here.
15	Specifically, i	ts warranty limitation is unenforceable because it knowingly sold a defect produc
16	without inforr	ning consumers about the defect.
17	107.	The time limits contained in Defendant's warranty period are also
18	unconscionab	le and inadequate to protect Plaintiff and the California Subclass members.
19	Among other	things, Plaintiff and California Subclass members and no meaningful choice in
20	determining tl	nese time limitations the terms of which unreasonably favor Defendant. A gross
21	disparity of ba	argaining power existed between Defendant and Plaintiff and California Subclass
22	members and	Defendant knew or should have known that the devices were defective at the time
23	of sale and wo	ould fail well before the end of their useful lives.

1	108. Defendant did not promptly replace or buy back the devices of Plaintiff and
2	proposed California Subclass members.
3	109. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its express warranties,
4	Plaintiff and the other California Subclass members received goods whose condition
5	substantially impairs their value to Plaintiff and the other California Subclass members.
6	Plaintiff and the other California Subclass members have been damaged as a result of, inter
7	alia, the diminished value of Defendant's products, the products' malfunctioning, and actual
8	and potential increased maintenance and repair costs.
9	110. Pursuant to CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1793.2 & 1794, Plaintiff and the other California
10	Subclass members are entitled to damages and other legal and equitable relief including, at
11	their election, the purchase price of their Switches or Joy-Con controllers, or the overpayment
12	or diminution in value of these devices.
13	111. Pursuant to CAL. CIV. CODE § 1794, Plaintiffs and the other California Subclass
14	members are entitled to costs and attorney fees.
15	COUNT VII
16	VIOLATIONS OF THE SONG-BEVERLY CONSUMER WARRANTY ACT FOR BREACH OF IMPLIED WARRANTY
17	(CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1792, 1791.1, et seq.)
18	112. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as
19	though fully set forth at length herein.
20	113. The Switches or Joy-Con controllers are "consumer goods" within the meaning
21	of Cal. Civ. Code § 1791(a).
22	114. Defendant is a "manufacturer" within the meaning of CAL. CIV. CODE § 1791(j).
23	115. Defendant impliedly warranted to Plaintiff and the California Subclass that the
	Tousley Brain Stephens PLLC

1	devices were "merchantable" within the meaning of CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1791.1(a) & 1792.
2	116. CAL. CIV. CODE § 1791.1(a) states: "Implied warranty of merchantability" or
3	"implied warranty that goods are merchantable" means that the consumer goods meet each of
4	the following:
5	(1) Pass without objection in the trade under the contract description.
6	(2) Are fit for the ordinary purposes for which such goods are used.
7	(3) Are adequately contained, packaged, and labeled.
8	(4) Conform to the promises or affirmations of fact made on the container or label.
9	117. The Switches or Joy-Con controllers would not pass without objection in the
10	gaming console trade because the defect causes all or substantially all of the controllers to
11	experience joystick failure, and to not operate as intended.
12	118. Because the defect materially reduces the reliability and dependability of the
13	devices, they are not fit for ordinary purposes for which such goods are used.
14	119. The Switches or Joy-Con controllers s are not adequately labeled because the
15	labeling fails to disclose the defect and does not advise California Sublass members of the
16	defect.
17	120. The defect deprived Plaintiff and the California Subclass of the benefit of their
18	bargain and have caused the devices to be worth less than what Plaintiff and other proposed
19	California Subclass members paid.
20	121. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's breach of its duties, California
21	Subclass members received goods whose condition substantially impairs their value. Plaintiff
22	and the proposed California Subclass have been damaged by the diminished value of the
23	Switches and Joy-Con controllers, the devices' malfunctioning, and actual and potential

1 increased maintenance and repair or replacement costs. 2 122. Under CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1791.1(d) & 1794, Plaintiff and California Sublass 3 members are entitled to damages and other legal and equitable relief including, at their election, 4 the purchase price of their Switches or Joy-Con controllers, or the overpayment or diminution 5 in value of their devices, and are also entitled to their attorney fees and costs. 6 COUNT VIII UNJUST ENRICHMENT (PLEADING IN THE ALTERNATIVE) 7 8 123. Plaintiff incorporates by reference each preceding and succeeding paragraph as 9 though fully set forth at length herein. 10 124. This claim is pleaded in the alternative to the other claims set forth herein. 11 125. As the intended and expected result of its conscious wrongdoing, Defendant has 12 profited and benefited from the purchase of Switches or Joy-Con controllers with the defect. 13 126. Defendant has voluntarily accepted and retained these profits and benefits, with 14 full knowledge and awareness that, as a result of Defendant's misconduct alleged herein, Plaintiff 15 and the Class were not receiving devices of the quality, nature, fitness, or value that had been 16 represented by Defendant, and that a reasonable consumer would expect. Specifically, Plaintiff 17 and the Class members expected that when they purchased their devices, they would not be 18 equipped with a defective joystick that would interfere with gameplay. 19 127. Defendant has been unjustly enriched by its fraudulent, deceptive, unlawful, and 20 unfair conduct, and its withholding of benefits and unearned monies from Plaintiff and the Class, 21 at the expense of these parties. 22 Equity and good conscience militate against permitting Defendant to retain these 128. 23 profits and benefits.

1		COUNT IX
2	DE	CCLARATORY RELIEF (PLEADING IN THE ALTERNATIVE)
3		
4	129.	Plaintiff repeats and realleges the allegations above as if fully set forth herein.
5	130.	This claim is pled in the alternative to the other claims set forth herein on behalf
6	of the Nations	wide Class.
7	131.	Defendant manufactured, produced, tested, inspected, marketed, distributed, and
8	sold the Switc	ch and Joy-Con controllers, which contain the defect as described herein.
9	132.	There exists an actual controversy, over which this Court has jurisdiction,
10	between Plair	ntiff and Defendant concerning their respective rights, duties and obligations for
11	which Plaintif	If desire a declaration of rights under Defendant's warranty. Pursuant to 28 U.S.C.
12	§ 2201, or in t	the alternative, the state declaratory judgment laws of the states in which Plaintiff
13	or Class mem	bers reside, this Court may declare the rights and legal relations of any interested
14	party seeking	such declaration, whether or not further relief is or could be sought.
15	133.	Defendant warrants and advertises the reliability and workmanship of the Switch
16	and the Joy-C	ons. Plaintiff contends that Defendant breached its warranties to Plaintiff and the
17	Class member	rs when they received their Switches and Joy-Cons at the time of the purchase that
18	were worth le	ss than what was promised by Nintendo's warranties.
19	134.	Defendant's warranties explicitly warrant materials and workmanship and do not
20	disclaim or ex	clude the defect or the type of damage suffered as a result of the defect in the Joy-
21	Cons.	
22	135.	Plaintiff seeks a declaration of the parties' respective rights, duties and
23	obligations ur	nder Defendant's warranty and other promises made by Defendant related to the

1	quality and workmanship of the Switches and Joy-Cons, and specifically that Plaintiff and the
2	Class members are entitled to recover their out-of-pocket expenses related to repairs and/or
3	replacement of their defective and unworkmanlike Switches and Joy-Cons under Defendant's
4	warranty.
5	136. Specifically, Plaintiff seeks a declaratory judgment that Defendant's warranty
6	provides coverage for, and is to be read to include coverage for, repair or replacement of Joy-
7	Cons that experience the defect. Plaintiff also seeks a declaratory judgment that nothing in
8	Defendant's warranty disclaims or excludes warranty coverage for the defect described herein.
9	137. A judicial declaration is necessary in order that Plaintiff and the Class members
10	may ascertain their rights and duties under Defendant's warranty. At this time, Plaintiff and the
11	Class members have Switches and Joy-Cons that were defective upon purchase, and/or continue
12	to remain defective.
13	138. Plaintiff and Class members suffered damages at the time of their purchase and
14	have paid or will have to pay future repair and/or replacement costs as a direct result of the
15	Defect in the Switch Joy-Cons.
16	PRAYER FOR RELIEF
17	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, hereby
18	requests that this Court enter an Order against Defendant providing the following:
19	A. Certification of the proposed Class and/or Subclass, appointment of Plaintiff and
20	his counsel to represent the proposed Class, and notice to the proposed Class to be paid by
21	Defendant;
22	B. An order temporarily and permanently enjoining Defendant from continuing the
23	unlawful, deceptive, fraudulent, and unfair business practices alleged in this Complaint;

1	C.	C. Injunctive relief in the form of a recall or free replacement program;		
2	D.	D. Equitable relief in the form of buyback of the devices;		
3	E.	Costs, restitution, damages, including punitive damages, penalties, and		
4	disgorgement in an amount to be determined at trial;			
5	F.	An Order requiring Defendant to pay both pre- and post-judgment interest on any		
6	amounts awarded;			
7	G.	An award of costs and attorneys' fees; and		
8	Н.	Such other or further relief as may be appropriate.		
9	JURY DEMAND			
10	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury for all claims so triable.			
11	DATED this 19th day of July, 2019.			
12	TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC			
13	By: s/Kim D. Stephens Kim D. Stephens, WSBA #11984			
14	By: s/Jason T. Dennett			
15	Jason T. Dennett, WSBA #30686			
16	By: <u>s/Kaleigh N.B. Powell</u> Kaleigh N.B. Powell, WSBA #52684			
17				
18		1700 Seventh Avenue, Suite 2200 Seattle, Washington 98101		
19		Telephone: 206.682.5600/Fax: 206.682.2992 Email: kstephens@tousley.com		
20		jdennett@tousley.com kpowell@tousley.com		
21		ı Ç		
22				
23				

1 2 3	Andrew W. Fe Alex M. Kash CHIMICLES & DONALDS 361 W. Lanca	
5	Telephone: (6	nnsylvania 19041 10) 642-8500 @chimicles.com
6	aw	f@chimicles.com k@chimicles.com
7		laintiffs and the Putative Class
8	8   0099/002/539424.1	
9		
11		
12		
13	13	
14	14	
15	15	
16	16	
17	17	
18	18	
19	19	
20	20	
21	21	
22	22	
23	23	
		TOUSLEY BRAIN STEPHENS PLLC